

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/065,585	10/31/2002	Lung-Sheng Lee	FTCP0013USA	9765
27765 7	7590 07/26/2006		EXAM	INER
NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION			TAVERAS, SINITHRO M	
100000000000000000000000000000000000000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, ,			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			DATE MAILED: 07/26/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/065,585	LEE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	S. Miguel Taveras	2632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be side will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02	2 December 2002.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 December 2002</u> i Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S rection is required if the drawing(s) is o	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	A \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 08)					

Application/Control Number: 10/065,585 Page 2

Art Unit: 2632

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
- 2. In Paragraph 5, "Apple"s" should be changed to "Apple's".
- 3. In Paragraph 5, "know" should be changed to "known".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 7, 8, 10,11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 6,275,696 B1 to Paik.
- 6. Regarding claim 1, Paik discloses a radio device capable of anticipating a link state (by counting errors in frames received) as being a standby link state or a connection link state, the radio device operating under a frequency hopping scheme wherein the radio device changes frequencies (between 64Khz and 56Khz) according to the link state and according to a periodic timer that defines regular time slots (Col. 5 lines 31-39, Col. 7 lines 36-47), the radio device comprising: a first register set for storing standby frequency channel parameters relating to the standby link state (power-off state); a second register set for storing connection frequency channel parameters relating to the connection link state (power-on state) (Col. 12 lines 12-24); a

Art Unit: 2632

multiplexer connected to outputs of the first and second register sets for selecting and outputting either the standby or connection frequency channel parameters (Col. 3 lines 55-67, Col. 4 lines 66-67 and Col. 5 lines 1-20); a link state controller connected to a selection input of the multiplexer for controlling the multiplexer according to the link state of the radio device (Col. 3 lines 55-67, Col. 4 lines 66-67 and Col. 5 lines 1-20); a working register set connected to the output of the multiplexer for receiving the selected frequency channel parameters output by the multiplexer (Col. 9 lines 60-67, Col. 10 lines 1-4, Fig. 9A and Fig. 9B; the status register holds the parameters of the current transmission); and a frequency channel controller connected to the working register set for controlling the radio device according to the selected frequency channel parameters; wherein before the radio device changes frequencies from a current time slot to a next time slot the standby frequency channel parameters for the next time slot and the connection frequency channel parameters for the next time slot are stored in the first and second register sets respectively, such that the link state controller is capable of switching the multiplexer according to the link state of the radio device for the next time slot so that the selected frequency parameters are loaded into the working register set (Col. 5 lines 11-20 and 31-39).

- 7. Regarding claim 2, Paik discloses the radio device of claim 1 wherein the standby and connection frequency channel parameters are determined by a software interrupt service routine (ISR) for the next time slot during the current time slot (Col. 2 lines 63-67, Col. 3 lines 1-3, Col. 13 lines 60-67, Col. 14 lines 1-11, Fig. 3).
- 8. Regarding claim 3, Paik discloses the radio device of claim 1 wherein the selected frequency channel parameters are loaded into the working register set ahead of the next time slot as indicated by the periodic timer (Col. 4 lines 66-67, Col. 5 lines 1-20, Col. 11 lines 19-39).

Art Unit: 2632

Regarding claim 4, Paik discloses the radio device of claim 1 further comprising an RF 9. device connected to the frequency channel controller for transmitting and receiving radio signals according to the selected frequency channel parameters (Abstract and Col. 1 lines 17-21).

Page 4

- Regarding claim 7, Paik discloses the radio device of claim 1 wherein each link state has 10. a different set of frequencies that are cycled through according to the frequency hopping scheme (Col. 5 lines 31-39 and Col. 11 lines 30-39).
- Regarding claim 8, Paik discloses the radio device of claim 1 wherein the link state is 11. determined by information received from a second radio device (Col. 7 lines 40-47; errors received determine the state of the link).
- 12. Regarding claim 10, Paik discloses the radio device of claim 1 further comprising additional link states and an additional register set for each additional link state, wherein the multiplexer is further connected to outputs of the additional register sets for further selecting and outputting frequency channel parameters of the additional register sets (Col. 7 lines 47-56; states there can be up to 4 registers instead of just two).
- Regarding claim 11, Paik discloses a method for setting a link state for a radio device, the 13. radio device comprising a first register set (power-off state), a second register set (power-on state), and a frequency channel controller, the radio device operating under a frequency hopping scheme wherein the radio device changes frequencies according to the link state and according to a periodic timer that defines regular time slots (Col. 5 lines 31-39, Col. 7 lines 36-47), the method comprising: storing standby frequency channel parameters for a next time slot in the first register set; storing connection frequency channel parameters for the next time slot in the second register set (Col. 12 lines 12-24); selecting the standby frequency channel parameters during a

Art Unit: 2632

current time slot when the link state of the radio device is to be a standby link state during the next time slot (Col. 3 lines 55-67, Col. 4 lines 66-67 and Col. 5 lines 1-20); selecting the connection frequency channel parameters during the current time slot when the link state of the radio device is to be a connection link state during the next time slot; and inputting the selected frequency channel parameters into the frequency channel controller prior to the beginning of the next time slot for controlling the radio device during the next time slot (Col. 3 lines 55-67, Col. 4 lines 66-67 and Col. 5 lines 1-20).

Page 5

14. Regarding claim 13, Paik discloses the method of claim 11 further comprising calculating standby frequency channel parameters and connection frequency channel parameters using a software interrupt service routine (ISR) and forwarding the calculated frequency channel parameters to the first and second register sets (Col. 2 lines 63-67, Col. 3 lines 1-3, Col. 13 lines 60-67, Col. 14 lines 1-11, Fig. 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 15. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 16. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,275,696 B1 to Paik in view of US Patent 5,621,720 to Bronte et al (hereinafter Bronte).

Regarding claim 5, Paik discloses all the limitations from claim 4 as applied above from which claim 5 depends.

Art Unit: 2632

Paik doesn't expressly disclose that the link state controller switches the multiplexer ahead of the next time slot by a predetermined RF settling time of the RF device.

Bronte teaches a radio device wherein switching occurs ahead of the next time slot by a predetermined RF settling time of the RF device (Col. 54 lines 49-57 and Col. 55 lines 5-15; in this case he chooses a settling time greater than the round trip delay).

Paik and Bronte are analogous art because they are from a similar problem solving area, transmitting data across a connection link.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to accommodate the settling time of a connection link.

The suggestion/motivation for accommodating the settling time of a connection link would be so that processing functions could take into account worst case travel time of data and then plan subsequent data functions accordingly (Col. 55 lines 5-15).

17. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,275,696 B1 to Paik in view of US Patent 6,366,622 to Brown et al (hereinafter Brown).

Regarding claim 6, Paik discloses all the limitations from claim 4 as applied above from which claim 6 depends.

Paik doesn't disclose that the radio device is designed and manufactured according to the Bluetooth specifications.

Brown teaches that a radio device using frequency hopping and links to transmit data can be designed and manufactured according to the Specification of the Bluetooth System for wireless communications (Col. 3 lines 26-67).

Art Unit: 2632

Paik and Brown are analogous art because they are from a similar problem solving area, transmitting data across a connection link.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include Bluetooth capabilities as taught by Brown in a radio system of Paik.

The suggestion/ motivation for including Bluetooth in a radio system using frequency hoping is so that many of the proprietary cables that connect one device to another can be replaced with one universal short-range radio link (Brown Col. 3 lines 35-38).

18. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,275,696 B1 to Paik in view of US Patent 6,094,575 to Anderson et al (hereinafter Anderson).

Regarding claim 9, Paik discloses all the limitations from claim 1 as applied above from which claim 9 depends.

Paik doesn't disclose that the that when in the standby link state the periodic timer is generated by the radio device, and when in the connection link state the periodic timer is generated by and received from a second radio device.

Anderson teaches that a radio device wherein the periodic timer is generated by the radio device (mobile station), and where a second timer is generated by and received from a second radio device (base station) (Col. 154 lines 29-37 and 52-61).

Paik and Anderson are analogous art because they are from a similar problem solving area, transmitting data across a connection link.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a radio device that could receive and generate periodic timer events while in different states as taught by Anderson, to the communication system of Paik.

Application/Control Number: 10/065,585 Page 8

Art Unit: 2632

The suggestion/motivation for including periodic timer events from a radio system and a base station would be so that alerts could be received concerning a lost or "leaky" link (Col. 154 lines 29-37 and 52-61).

19. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,275,696 B1 to Paik in view of US Patent 5,671,357 to Humblet et al (hereinafter Humblet).

Regarding claim 12, Paik discloses all the limitations from claim 11 as applied above from which claim 12 depends.

Paik doesn't expressly disclose that the storing, selecting, and inputting steps are synchronized through the periodic timer.

Humblet teaches a radio device in which the storing, selecting, and inputting steps are synchronized through the periodic timer (Col. 2 lines 66-67 and Col. 3 lines 1-9).

Paik and Humblet are analogous art because they are from a similar problem solving area, transmitting data across a connection link.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide synchronization of storing, selecting and inputting steps, as taught by Humblet, to the communication system of Paik.

The suggestion/motivation for providing synchronization of storing, selecting and inputting steps would be so that the invention would maximize performance of the system (Col. 3 lines 1-9).

Conclusion

John D. Wall Primary Ex.

Art Unit: 2632

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Miguel Taveras whose telephone number is (571) 270-1136. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Susy Tsang-Foster can be reached on (571) 272-1293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/SMT